

# The post-common-envelope, binary central star of the planetary nebula Hen 2-11

D. Jones<sup>1</sup>, H.M.J. Boffin<sup>1</sup>, B. Miszalski<sup>2,3</sup>, R. Wesson<sup>1</sup>, R.L.M. Corradi<sup>4,5</sup>, and A.A. Tyndall<sup>1,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Córdova 3107, Casilla 19001, Santiago, Chile  
e-mail: djones@eso.org, hboffin@eso.org

<sup>2</sup> South African Astronomical Observatory, P.O. Box 9, Observatory, 7935 Cape Town, South Africa

<sup>3</sup> Southern African Large Telescope Foundation, P.O. Box 9, Observatory, 7935 Cape Town, South Africa

<sup>4</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, E-38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

<sup>5</sup> Departamento de Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna, E-38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

<sup>6</sup> Jodrell Bank Centre for Astrophysics, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

Received 4 November 2013 / Accepted 2 January 2014

## ABSTRACT

We present a detailed photometric study of the central star system of the planetary nebula Hen 2-11, selected for study because of its low-ionisation filaments and bipolar morphology – traits which have been strongly linked with central star binarity. Photometric monitoring with NTT-EFOSC2 reveals a highly irradiated, double-eclipsing, post-common-envelope system with a period of 0.609 d. Modelling of the lightcurve indicates that the nebular progenitor is extremely hot, while the secondary in the system is probably a K-type main sequence star. The chemical composition of the nebula is analysed, showing Hen 2-11 to be a medium-excitation non-Type I nebula. A simple photoionisation model is constructed determining abundance ratios of C/O and N/O which would be consistent with the common-envelope cutting short the AGB evolution of the nebular progenitor.

The detection of a post-common-envelope binary system at the heart of Hen 2-11 further strengthens the link between binary progeny and the formation of axisymmetric planetary nebulae with patterns of low-ionisation filaments, clearly demonstrating their use as morphological indicators of central star binarity.

**Key words.** planetary nebulae: individual: Hen 2-11 - Stars: binaries: close - Stars: binaries: eclipsing - Stars: circumstellar matter - Stars: AGB and post-AGB ISM: abundances

## 1. Introduction

It is now clear that common-envelope (CE) evolution represents an important channel for the formation of planetary nebulae (PNe), constituting a significant fraction of the total PN population (Miszalski et al. 2009a). However, in-depth analysis of this population, as well as their influence on the host nebulae, is still hampered by the small sample and lack of detailed study for individual objects. It is, therefore, critical to the field that further binary central stars are discovered and characterised.

Recent work has shown that PNe with post-CE, binary central stars show a penchant for low-ionisation filaments and axisymmetrical structures (Miszalski et al. 2009b, 2011b), as such PNe displaying these traits should offer the greatest chance of binary discovery. While spectroscopic monitoring for radial velocity variables has shown promising results (e.g. Boffin et al. 2012b), the most efficient methodology remains photometric monitoring (Miszalski et al. 2009a, 2011a).

Hen 2-11 (PN G259.1+00.9;  $\alpha = 08^h37^m08.3^s$ ,  $\delta = -39^\circ25'08''$ ) is a southern PN first detected by Henize (1967). The nebula was shown by Górny et al. (1999) to display an elongated morphology with low-ionisation filaments. Based on its similar appearance in this image to two other post-CE PNe, NGC 6326 and NGC 6778 (Miszalski et al. 2011b), we selected it as a promising candidate for photometric monitoring as part of ongoing efforts by our team to discover binary central stars (Corradi et al. 2011; Miszalski et al. 2011a,b; Tyndall et al. 2013; Miszalski et al. 2013b). Here, we report on the discov-


ery of an eclipsing post-CE central star displaying a strong irradiation effect that is reminiscent of Abell 63 (Pollacco & Bell 1993) and Abell 46 (Pollacco & Bell 1994). The discovery of rare eclipsing central stars is a key step towards measuring accurate, model-independent central star masses for a large sample of PNe (Miszalski et al. 2008).

The paper is structured as follows. Sect. 2 outlines the observations and data reduction, Sect. 3 describes the light curve analysis and modelling, and the analysis of the stellar and nebular spectroscopy. Finally, Sect. 4 discusses the results.

## 2. Observations and data reduction

### 2.1. Photometry

The central star of Hen 2-11 was monitored photometrically with EFOSC2 on the 3.6-m ESO-NTT (Buzzoni et al. 1984; Snodgrass et al. 2008) on the nights of 27-29 February, 1-2 March 2012, and 14, 15 and 17 January 2013. The observations employed the i#705 (Gunn *i*) filter and E2V CCD with a pixel scale of  $0.24'' \times 0.24''$  pixel<sup>-1</sup>. A total of 200 *I*-band observations were taken with 60-s exposure time. Further individual observations of 240-s were acquired with narrowband [O III]  $\lambda 5007 \text{ \AA}$  (#687), H $\alpha$ +N II (#692) and [S II]  $\lambda 6716+6731 \text{ \AA}$  (#700) filters, two exposures of 20 seconds with a Bessel B filter (#639) and five of 180-s in H $\beta$ -continuum (#743). A three-colour composite image of the nebula is presented in Fig. 1, along with the individual images taken in the narrowband emission line filters.



**Fig. 1.** NTT-EFOSC2 images of Hen 2-11. (a) Colour composite made from [S II]  $\lambda 6716+6731$  Å (red), H $\alpha$ + [N II] (green) and [O III]  $\lambda 5007$  Å (blue), (b) [S II]  $\lambda 6716+6731$  Å with the central star marked, (c) H $\alpha$ + [N II] and (d) [O III]  $\lambda 5007$  Å. North is up, East to the left and each image measures  $2' \times 3'$ .

All data were debiased and flat-fielded using standard STARLINK routines<sup>1</sup>. Photometry was extracted using SEXTRACTOR with an aperture tailored to a diameter of  $3 \times$  the seeing on each frame (Bertin & Arnouts 1996; Jones 2011), and the differential *I*-band

mag of the central star measured against non-variable field stars. An absolute scale, with an approximate precision of 0.05 mag (derived from the dispersion in detector zero points calculated for each field star), was applied to the data via the methodol-

<sup>1</sup> <http://starlink.jach.hawaii.edu/>

ogy described in Boffin et al. (2012a) using catalogue photometry from DENIS (Epchtein et al. 1999).

## 2.2. Spectroscopy

Table 1 outlines the spectroscopic observations of Hen 2-11 made with the Robert Stobie Spectrograph (RSS; Burgh et al. 2003; Kobulnicky et al. 2003) of the Southern African Large Telescope (SALT; Buckley et al. 2006; O'Donoghue et al. 2006). The position angle (PA) of the slit was selected to be  $71.5^\circ$ , to include the brighter star to the Southwest of the central star. Basic reductions were applied using the PySALT<sup>2</sup> package (Crawford et al. 2010). Contemporaneous spectroscopic flat-fields were taken with each PG900 spectrum and were applied to the science exposures after each flat was divided by a 50 pixel median of itself to reduce the amplitude of fringing on the detectors and to correct bad pixels. Cosmic ray events were cleaned using the LACOSMIC package (van Dokkum 2001). Wavelength calibration of arc lamp exposures was performed using standard IRAF<sup>3</sup> tasks IDENTIFY, REIDENTIFY, FITCOORDS and TRANSFORM by identifying the arc lines in each row and applying a geometric transformation to the data frames. One dimensional spectra were extracted for the central star and the nebula, keeping the same aperture in the separate exposures. Spectrophotometric standard stars were used to flux calibrate the spectra in the usual fashion. Due to the moving pupil design of SALT only a relative (not absolute) spectrophotometric solution can be obtained.

## 3. Analysis

### 3.1. Morphology

The narrowband images presented in Fig. 1 show Hen 2-11 to comprise a bright central region roughly  $1'$  in diameter with an irregular patten of low-ionisation filamentary structures extending out to the Northwest and Southeast. As commented on in Sect. 1, the nebula bears a striking resemblance to two other PNe previously shown to host binary nuclei, NGC 6326 and NGC 6778 (Miszalski et al. 2011b). The central region of the nebula is bright and relatively uniform in [O III]  $\lambda 5007 \text{ \AA}$  (Fig. 1(d)), while in the light of [S II]  $\lambda 6716+6731 \text{ \AA}$  and  $H\alpha+[N II]$  the central structure appears far more filamentary (low-ionisation filaments like these are found in both NGC 6326 and NGC 6778; Miszalski et al. 2011b). Away from the central region, there is little emission in [O III]  $\lambda 5007 \text{ \AA}$ , but the [S II]  $\lambda 6716+6731 \text{ \AA}$  and  $H\alpha+[N II]$  images reveal bipolar extensions upto  $80''$  from the central star to both the Northwest and Southeast. A particularly bright filament is found at the tip of the Southeastern lobe, running perpendicular to the major axis of the nebula, while the Northwestern projection has a more floccular appearance. These structures may perhaps be high velocity outflows/jets similar to those seen in NGC 6778 (Guerrero & Miranda 2012), features which are hypothesised to be formed by mass transfer in a binary system (Miszalski et al. 2013a; Tocknell et al. 2013).

### 3.2. Lightcurve

A Lomb-Scargle analysis was performed in order to determine the periodicity of the variability displayed by the central star of Hen 2-11 using the PERIOD package of the STARLINK software suite (Dhillon et al. 2001). Fig. 2 shows the data folded on the ephemeris determined by the analysis,

$$\min I = 2\,455\,988.1617(\pm 0.0005) + 0.6093(\pm 0.0003)E.$$

The lightcurve shows smooth sinusoidal variation of peak-to-peak amplitude  $\sim 1.1$  mag, with eclipses at phase 0 and 0.5. The primary eclipse, at phase 0, is approximately 1.5 mag deep, indicating that even in the  $I$ -band much of the flux originates from the hot nebular progenitor. As such, the sinusoidal variability can be attributed to the primary irradiating the near face of the secondary, and the changing projection of this face with the binary orbit (often referred to as a reflection or irradiation effect). The strength of this reflection effect, as well as the pronounced secondary eclipse, indicate that the inclination of the system must also be close to  $90^\circ$ .

The system was modelled using the NIGHTFALL code<sup>4</sup>. All parameters were varied over a wide range of physical solutions, with the final model being selected for having the lowest  $\chi^2$  fit. A “third light” component (of 9.5% at mean mag in the  $I$ -band) was incorporated into the model. This value is based on an estimation of the remaining nebular contamination following the background fitting and subtraction performed by SEXTRACTOR. The estimation was carried out by measuring the flux, on a selection of background subtracted images produced by SEXTRACTOR, in an annulus surrounding the central star and comparing that to the flux in an aperture centred on the sky background away from the nebula, and then scaling this flux to that which would contaminate an aperture of radius  $1.5\times$  the median seeing of the observations. Given the obviously large reflection effect in the system, detailed reflection was employed in the modelling (with 5 iterations) in order to properly treat the irradiation of the secondary by the primary. A model atmosphere was used for the lower temperature (secondary) component with solar metallicity and  $\log g$  of 4.5 (Kurucz 1993). The final model lightcurve is shown, along with the residuals to the binned data, in Fig. 2 and the model parameters outlined in Tab. 2.

In general, the model fits well, with residuals of less than 0.05 mag at most phases (reasonable considering the errors plotted are solely from the photometry and do not account for the variable nebular contamination). The worst fit is attained around primary eclipse, where the percentage contribution from the nebula is at a maximum and the data is of lowest signal-to-noise, due to the intrinsic faintness of the secondary. The additional scatter in the data around this phase could also be due to star spots, however this is impossible to ascertain given the signal-to-noise and time coverage of our data. In order to fully assess the validity of the model, it is important to compare the model parameters to the observations (as well as theory, where possible) and ensure the two are consistent.

The model parameters (namely temperature and radius) for the secondary indicate that it has an absolute bolometric mag,  $M_{\text{bol}}$ , equal to 6.7 and is roughly of spectral type K5V (Boyajian et al. 2012). Adopting a bolometric correction of  $-0.66$  (Kaler 1989), a  $(V - I)_0$  colour of 1.54 (Ducati et al. 2001) and an extinction,  $c(H\beta)$ , of 2.41 (determined from our SALT spectroscopy, see Sec. 3.3), and using the reddening law of Howarth (1983), we calculate the distance to be roughly

<sup>2</sup> <http://pysalt.salt.ac.za>


<sup>3</sup> IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.hs.uni-hamburg.de/DE/Ins/Per/Wichmann/Nightfall.html>

**Table 1.** Log of the SALT RSS observations.

Date (DD/MM/YY)	JD	$\phi$	Grating	Exptime (s)	$\lambda$ ( $''$ )	$\Delta\lambda$ (FWHM, $\text{\AA}$ )	Dispersion ( $\text{\AA pix}^{-1}$ )
22/12/12	2456282.412835	0.93	PG900	2350	3907-7004	6.0	0.98
21/03/13	2456373.421354	0.30	PG900	2350	6300-9290	5.5	0.95
13/04/13	2456396.353935	0.94	PG1300	2500	4082-6178	4.3	0.66

**Notes.** The slit-width for all observations was  $1.50\text{\AA}$ .




**Fig. 2.** Folded NTT-EFOSC2 I-band photometry of the central star of Hen 2-11 with the NIGHTFALL model overlaid in red (upper panel). Binned residuals between the observed photometry and model are shown in the lower panel. Note that the size of the points represents the photometric uncertainty and contain no estimate of the uncertainty due to variable nebular contamination (which can be significant, see text for details).

**Table 2.** Modelled and observed binary parameters

	Primary	Secondary
$T_{\text{eff}}$ (K)	$140\,000 \pm 30\,000$	$4\,500 \pm 500$
Radius ( $R_{\odot}$ )	$0.13 \pm 0.02$	$0.68 \pm 0.03$
Log $g$	–	$4.5^a$
Inclination	$90^{\circ} \pm 0.5^{\circ}$	
$M_1/M_2$	$0.91^{+0.11}_{-0.14}$	
Period (d)	$0.6093 \pm 0.0003$	
Peak-to-peak $I$ -mag amplitude of irradiation effect	$1.12 \pm 0.07$	
Min. $I$ -mag of sinusoidal region of lightcurve	$16.80 \pm 0.05^b$	
Eclipse $I$ -mag	$18.40 \pm 0.05^c$	
Extinction-corrected min. $V$ -mag of sinusoidal region	$13.82 \pm 0.08^d$	
Extinction-corrected eclipse $V$ -mag	$17.36 \pm 0.08^e$	

**Notes.** <sup>(a)</sup> A fixed parameter in the modelling <sup>(b)</sup> Measured value, not accounting for nebular contamination of roughly 10% at this phase <sup>(c)</sup> Measured value, not accounting for nebular contamination of roughly 25% at this phase <sup>(d)</sup> Corrected using the measured  $c(H\beta) = 2.42 \pm 0.04$ , and a representative  $(V - I)_0 = -0.4$  for the nebular progenitor (Ciardullo et al. 1999) <sup>(e)</sup> Corrected using the measured  $c(H\beta) = 2.42 \pm 0.04$ , and assuming that the modelled temperature is representative of the spectral type of the secondary (see text for details).





**Fig. 3.** Helium-burning evolutionary track for  $M_i = 2.5M_\odot$ ,  $M_f = 0.67M_\odot$  from Vassiliadis & Wood (1994), with the location of the model central star of Hen 2-11 marked (corresponding to an age of approximately 7 000 years).


700pc (assuming the  $I$ -mag at eclipse is that of the secondary and accounting for the nebular contamination at this phase of  $\sim 0.3$  mag). While this distance is a little on the low side, it is still within the error margins of the statistical distance calculated by Stanghellini et al. (2008, of 890 pc) and the distance by trigonometric parallax of  $\sim 780$ pc (Gutiérrez-Moreno et al. 1999). We recalculated the distance using the Stanghellini et al. (2008) method, our extinction value, a radius of  $32''$  and  $\log F(\text{H}\beta) = -12.14$  (Acker et al. 1992). This yields 817pc, more in line with the proper-motion based distance and offering a better agreement with our distance.

The spectral type of the secondary and the modelled mass ratio imply a mass for the primary of roughly  $0.67 M_\odot$ . However, determinations of spectral type based solely on modelling of the lightcurve are notoriously unreliable in these systems (see Sect. 4). It is interesting to note that in spite of the significant uncertainties, the modelled effective temperature and luminosity lie on the evolutionary track for a  $0.67 M_\odot$  remnant (formed from a  $2.5 M_\odot$  progenitor) with an age of  $\sim 7000$  years (Fig. 3). This is a reasonable age for a planetary nebula, and would imply an expansion velocity,  $v_{exp}$ , of roughly  $30\text{--}40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (given the distance to and angular size of the observed nebula), which is fairly typical for a PN with a binary central star (e.g. Jones et al. 2010, though greater than the average for PNe in general). Collectively, this shows that our model provides a reasonable solution to the systemic parameters, in spite of the lack of radial velocity study which would be required in order to provide stronger constraints (See Sect. 4).

### 3.3. Stellar and nebular spectra

Figure 4 shows the low-resolution PG900 spectrum of the central star with weak absorption from He II  $\lambda 5412\text{\AA}$  detected on top of a heavily reddened continuum, confirming it to be the ionising source of the PN. The lack of emission lines is consistent with the irradiated zone of the secondary facing away from us at the phase observed.

The extracted nebular spectra were combined to produce a single spectrum with wavelength coverage from  $\sim 4000\text{--}9000\text{\AA}$  (shown in Fig. 5). From the ratios of  $\text{H}\alpha$ ,  $\text{H}\gamma$  and  $\text{H}\delta$  to  $\text{H}\beta$ , and using the Galactic extinction law of Howarth (1983), we deter-



**Fig. 4.** Low-resolution SALT spectrum of the central star of Hen 2-11 taken at a phase of  $\phi = 0.93$ . The zoom displays the region around the He II  $\lambda 5412\text{\AA}$  absorption feature.

mine the logarithmic extinction at  $\text{H}\beta$ ,  $c(\text{H}\beta)$ , to be  $2.41 \pm 0.01$ . This is almost 0.2dex greater than that found by Shaw & Kaler (1989), however their value is derived from emission-line photometry with appreciable contamination from  $[\text{N II}] \lambda 6583\text{\AA}$  in their measurement of the  $\text{H}\alpha$  flux. Hence, we conclude that our measurement (derived using entirely non-blended spectral lines) is a more reliable determination. Furthermore, the galactic latitude of Hen 2-11 ( $+0.9$  degrees) means that high extinction is entirely consistent with purely foreground reddening, although some component may be internal to the object as well.

The nebular spectrum taken with the higher resolution PG1300 grating was used to measure the heliocentric radial velocity of the nebula, which we determine to be  $22.1 \pm 2.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  with the EMSAO program (Kurtz & Mink 1998).

#### 3.3.1. Plasma diagnostics

Using the NEAT code (for full details of the analytic processes and atomic data employed, see Wesson et al. 2012), we measure an electron density of  $570 \pm 90 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  from the  $[\text{S II}]$  lines, and  $1800 \pm 1600 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  from the  $[\text{Ar IV}] \lambda 4711/4740\text{\AA}$  lines. Although the uncertainty on the  $[\text{Ar IV}]$  density is large, the discrepancy between these two values may be due to the presence of high density clumps (Zhang et al. 2004); the critical densities of the  $[\text{S II}]$  lines are  $3.625 \times 10^3$  and  $1.419 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , while those of the  $[\text{Ar IV}]$  lines are  $1.012 \times 10^5$  and  $1.630 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and so any material at densities of  $1 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  would emit  $[\text{Ar IV}]$  but not  $[\text{S II}]$ .

The temperatures derived from  $[\text{O III}]$  and  $[\text{N II}]$  are in excellent agreement at  $11500 \pm 250 \text{ K}$  and  $11850 \pm 450 \text{ K}$ , respectively. The  $[\text{S III}] \lambda 9069/6312\text{\AA}$  line ratio implies a very high temperature, but the  $\lambda 9069\text{\AA}$  line lies in a vignettted region of the spectrum and its flux is probably underestimated. A factor of 2 increase in its measured flux would bring the  $[\text{S III}]$  temperature into line with the other diagnostics.

Using the “crossover” method of Kaler & Jacoby (1989), we were able to estimate the central star temperature, given the mea-

sured He II/H $\beta$  dereddened flux ratio, to be  $\sim 108\,000$  K – in reasonable agreement with the temperature derived by modelling the lightcurve,  $140\,000 \pm 30\,000$  K<sup>5</sup>.

### 3.3.2. Empirical analysis


We first carry out an empirical analysis using the NEAT code (Wesson et al. 2012), which propagates the observational uncertainties into the final quantities using a monte carlo technique. Ionic abundances were derived using a temperature of 11 800 K and a density of  $500\text{ cm}^{-3}$  for singly ionised species, and 11 500 K and  $1\,800\text{ cm}^{-3}$  for more highly ionised species. Our spectra do not extend as far bluewards as the O II lines at  $\lambda 3727/3729\text{Å}$ , and the lines at  $\lambda 7320/7330\text{Å}$  lie in a gap in our spectral coverage. Without an O<sup>+</sup>/O<sup>2+</sup> ratio, we are unable to estimate the necessary ionisation correction factors to derive total elemental abundances for any heavy elements. However, the presence of He<sup>2+</sup> (while still only comprising a relatively small amount of the total He<sup>6</sup>) implies that O<sup>2+</sup> will be the dominant ionisation stage of oxygen. The absence of O<sup>+</sup> lines introduces a relatively small uncertainty into the O/H abundance, which we find to be  $(3.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$ , as O<sup>+</sup>/O<sup>2+</sup> will be low. The N/H abundance, on the other hand, is essentially unconstrained as only N<sup>+</sup> is observable; the fraction of N<sup>+</sup> is very small and is impossible to estimate from the current data. We find He/H to be  $0.104 \pm 0.007$ ; this indicates that Hen 2-11 is not a Type I PN (Peimbert 1978). Table 3 gives the measured and de-reddened line intensities, as well as the ionic abundances calculated using the NEAT code (Wesson et al. 2012), derived from the nebular spectra shown in Fig. 5.

### 3.3.3. Photoionisation modelling

Given that we are unable to estimate the ionisation correction factors needed to empirically derive heavy element abundances, we constructed a photoionisation model using MOCASSIN (Ercolano et al. 2003) to try constrain the N abundance (and further confirm the non-Type I nature of Hen 2-11).

From the images of the nebula (Fig. 1) it is clear that the nebula is irregular and filamentary. However, our long slit spectrum does not provide sufficient observational constraints to realistically model the nebular morphology, nor do we have any information about the surface abundances of the central star which would allow us to realistically estimate the ionising spectrum. Our model is, therefore, highly simplified and is intended only as a first approximation, a more rigorous modelling would require spatially resolved spectroscopy of the nebula.

We modelled the nebula as a sphere with a radius of  $10^{18}\text{cm}$ , estimated from our images and the distance of 700 pc derived in Section 3.2. Our model had a uniform hydrogen density, with the central star approximated by a blackbody. We then varied the



**Fig. 5.** A combined nebular spectrum of Hen 2-11, composed from the three exposures listed in Table 1.

**Table 4.** Abundances used in the photoionisation model of Hen 2-11.

Element	Abundance
H	1.0
He	0.104
C	$0.8 \times 10^{-4}$
N	$3.0 \times 10^{-5}$
O	$5.5 \times 10^{-4}$
Ne	$7.5 \times 10^{-5}$
S	$1.1 \times 10^{-6}$
Ar	$1.8 \times 10^{-6}$

nebular abundances and the central star temperature to achieve a good match to our observed line fluxes.

Our best fitting model has a stellar luminosity of  $5140 L_{\odot}$  and a temperature of 115 000 K, in good agreement with the values derived in Section 3.3.1 although slightly lower than the temperature derived from the light curve modelling (but still within the uncertainty). The emission line fluxes predicted by the model are given alongside the observed values in Tab. 3.

The model reproduces most line intensities well, in particular the strengths of He I and He II, and the O III nebular to auroral line ratio. The observed [N II] temperature diagnostic ratio is lower than that predicted by the model, indicating that the actual N<sup>+</sup> temperature is higher, and the N<sup>+</sup> abundance even lower than predicted by the model. This may indicate the presence of high-temperature low-ionisation regions of the nebula which are not captured by our simple model (see Sec. 4 for further discussion).

The total abundances used in our model are given in Tab. 4. These strengthen the indication from the empirical analysis that Hen 2-11 does not have Type I abundances. In particular, the nitrogen abundance in the model is very low, with N/O  $\sim 0.05$ ; Galactic Type I PNe have N/O  $> 0.8$  (Kingsburgh & Barlow 1994). While this cannot be considered a rigorous determination of the true total abundances, and therefore the true N/O ratio, it does provide a strong indication that the true N/O value will be much lower than for a typical Type I PN.

<sup>5</sup> Unlike the Zanstra method, this technique does not depend on the mag of the central star, the measurement of which can be heavily skewed for binary systems where a significant proportion of the optical flux originates from the secondary. Even for Hen 2-11, where the primary is intrinsically much brighter than the secondary, the contribution from the secondary is significant at most phases due to the strength of the irradiation effect. This, along with the nebula's optical depth, may account for the discrepancy between our temperature and the Hydrogen Zanstra temperature of  $89\,000 \pm 11\,000$  K (Shaw & Kaler 1989).

<sup>6</sup> A greater fraction of He in He<sup>2+</sup> would imply that O<sup>3+</sup> would be the dominant ionisation stage.

**Table 3.** Observed,  $F(\lambda)$ , and dereddened  $I(\lambda)$ , nebular emission line fluxes relative to  $H\beta = 100$ , and the fractional ionic abundance in that line relative to that of Hydrogen,  $\frac{X(\text{line})}{H}$ . The final column shows the fluxes predicted by our MOCASSIN photoionisation model. The lower part of the table lists a series of emission line diagnostic ratios and their measured and modelled values.

$\lambda$	Ion	$F(\lambda)$	$I(\lambda)$	$\frac{X(\text{line})}{H}$	$I_{\text{model}}(\lambda)$
3967.46+3970.07 <sup>a</sup>	[Ne III]+H I	14.63 ± 1.07	47.10 ± 3.46	-	Ne=29.99 H=15.98
4340.47	H I	23.64 ± 0.40	47.77 ± 0.92	-	47.00
4363.21	[O III]	7.09 ± 0.40	13.91 ± 0.82	$4.23 \times 10^{-4}$	$+8.89 \times 10^{-5}$ $-1.13 \times 10^{-4}$
4471.50	He I	3.00 ± 0.39	5.09 ± 0.66	0.098	$\pm 0.012$
4685.68	He II	14.32 ± 4.76	13.25 <sup>+2.90</sup> <sub>-3.72</sub>	0.011	$+2.42 \times 10^{-3}$ $-3.11 \times 10^{-3}$
4711.37	[Ar IV]	4.25 ± 0.22	5.23 ± 0.27	$1.07 \times 10^{-6}$	$+1.87 \times 10^{-7}$ $-1.92 \times 10^{-7}$
4740.17	[Ar IV]	4.37 ± 0.86	4.87 <sup>+0.83</sup> <sub>-1.00</sub>	$1.07 \times 10^{-6}$	$\pm 2.03 \times 10^{-7}$
4861.33	H I	100.00 ± 0.70	100.01 ± 0.00	-	100.00
4958.91	[O III]	541.81 ± 4.87	474.40 ± 5.30	$3.51 \times 10^{-4}$	$\pm 4.91 \times 10^{-5}$
5006.84	[O III]	1696.82 ± 4.82	1391.98 ± 9.67	$3.46 \times 10^{-4}$	$\pm 4.82 \times 10^{-5}$
5412.73	He II	3.24 ± 0.44	1.54 ± 0.21	0.013	$\pm 0.002$
5754.60	[N II]	5.99 ± 0.45	2.04 ± 0.15	$1.06 \times 10^{-5}$	$+9.79 \times 10^{-7}$ $-1.07 \times 10^{-6}$
5875.66	He I	48.16 ± 0.46	14.64 ± 0.16	0.094	$+7.59 \times 10^{-3}$ $-4.87 \times 10^{-3}$
6300.30	[O I]	18.31 ± 10.94	1.68 <sup>+0.59</sup> <sub>-0.91</sub>	$1.84 \times 10^{-6}$	$+6.66 \times 10^{-7}$ $-1.04 \times 10^{-6}$
6312.10	[S III]	12.47 ± 11.64	0.58 <sup>+0.27</sup> <sub>-0.50</sub>	$6.65 \times 10^{-7}$	$+6.65 \times 10^{-7}$ $-3.57 \times 10^{-7}$
6363.77	[O I]	7.43 ± 10.59	0.07 <sup>+0.07</sup> <sub>-0.14</sub>	$2.39 \times 10^{-7}$	$+2.39 \times 10^{-7}$ $-4.73 \times 10^{-7}$
6548.10	[N II]	197.20 ± 12.59	33.77 ± 2.16	$1.16 \times 10^{-5}$	$\pm 1.38 \times 10^{-6}$
6562.77	H I	1680.91 ± 11.84	284.62 <sup>+0.87</sup> <sub>-0.71</sub>	-	-
6583.50	[N II]	549.71 ± 11.99	91.53 ± 2.13	$1.03 \times 10^{-5}$	$\pm 9.80 \times 10^{-7}$
6678.16	He I	25.82 ± 10.08	2.60 <sup>+0.65</sup> <sub>-0.86</sub>	0.067	$+0.016$ $-0.022$
6716.44	[S II]	88.57 ± 2.06	13.30 ± 0.33	$4.29 \times 10^{-7}$	$\pm 3.62 \times 10^{-8}$
6730.82	[S II]	85.22 ± 2.07	12.66 ± 0.33	$4.30 \times 10^{-7}$	$\pm 3.63 \times 10^{-8}$
7065.25	He I	21.84 ± 2.10	2.54 ± 0.25	0.102	$\pm 0.010$
7135.80	[Ar III]	151.84 ± 2.02	16.84 ± 0.28	$1.21 \times 10^{-6}$	$\pm 1.23 \times 10^{-7}$
7281.35	He I	6.09 ± 5.95	0.13 <sup>+0.06</sup> <sub>-0.11</sub>	0.02	$+8.09 \times 10^{-3}$ $-0.02$
7751.43	[Ar III]	52.08 ± 2.98	3.91 ± 0.23	$1.17 \times 10^{-6}$	$\pm 1.37 \times 10^{-7}$
9068.60	[S III]	352.73 ± 4.24	13.75 ± 0.26	$1.42 \times 10^{-6}$	$\pm 1.25 \times 10^{-7}$
4740/4711	[Ar IV]	-	0.93 <sup>+0.20</sup> <sub>-0.16</sub>	-	0.83
6731/6717	[S II]	-	0.95 ± 0.03	-	0.96
(4959+5007)/4363	[O III]	-	134.05 ± 7.96	-	133.60
(6584+6548)/5754	[N II]	-	61.94 ± 4.92	-	82.92

**Notes.** <sup>(a)</sup> The value measured in the spectrum is a blend of the two lines listed, while the model values are for the individual components

#### 4. Discussion

The central star of Hen 2-11 has been shown to be a photometric variable with a period of 0.61 d. Modelling of the lightcurve indicates that the system is a post-CE binary with a hot primary, also the nebular progenitor, of temperature of 110 – 140 kK, and a K-type main sequence secondary of temperature  $\approx 4500$  K. These values, as well as the other modelled stellar parameters (size/luminosity), are roughly consistent with evolutionary models and the distances published in the literature.

It is important to point out that given the lack of radial velocity measurements, we can place only very loose constraints on the mass ratio and therefore on the mass of the primary (particularly knowing that the spectral type of the secondary, determined from its modelled temperature, is often at odds with the measured mass in these systems; see below for further discussion). However, our model's best-fitting value of  $0.67 M_{\odot}$  is a fairly typical remnant mass and fits well with a similarly typical PN age (even accounting for the fairly large uncertainty in the relatively arbitrary starting time of the evolutionary tracks). Without further observations we cannot place any stronger constraints on the system parameters, other than to say that all aspects of the model are consistent with current observations and those of similar systems.

For the modelled system, we would expect to observe radial velocity variations of around  $40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  ( $K_2 \approx 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  about our measured nebular heliocentric velocity of  $\approx 21 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ). We, therefore, strongly encourage further spectroscopic follow-up of the system in the form of a time-resolved radial velocity study. Given the extremely large reflection effect in the system, one would expect the secondary to show very strong C III and N III emission lines at almost all phases (Corradi et al. 2011; Miszalski et al. 2011b), thus making Hen 2-11 particularly apt for radial velocity study in spite of its intrinsic faintness. Unfortunately, our two spectra covering these lines were taken very close to primary eclipse such that the irradiated face of the secondary was not observed, and therefore these lines were not detected. However, such a radial velocity study would allow the determination of key system parameters such as the mass ratio and individual component masses. The component masses are essential, not only to test the model, but also to compare the binary parameters to those of other post-CE central stars. In general, the secondaries, in the few systems that have been the subject of detailed study, are found to display inflated radii and increased spectral temperatures with respect to those expected from their masses (Pollacco & Bell 1993; de Marco et al. 2008; Afşar & Ibanoglu 2008). As such, it would be of great interest to add further statistics in this small sample. If this were the case

for Hen 2-11, the secondary mass might be expected to be more like that of a late K- or early M-type main sequence star (reducing the primary mass to possibly be more in line with that of Abell 46, another eclipsing post-CE central star displaying a large reflection effect, assuming a similar mass ratio to that determined by the lightcurve modelling; Pollacco & Bell 1994).

Little data exists on the nebular abundances of post-CE PNe, unfortunately our data do not permit us to perform a full analysis and derive total elemental abundances. We have derived ionic abundances where possible, and shown that Hen 2-11 is not a Peimbert Type I nebula. This could be taken as an indication of the common-envelope cutting short the AGB evolution of the nebular progenitor, but this is difficult to assess without accurate C/O and N/O ratios (De Marco 2009). We, therefore, constructed a photoionisation model of the nebula to provide an estimate of these ratios and test the validity of this scenario. Unfortunately, as clearly shown by the imagery in Fig. 1, the nebula is far from homogenous and this is borne out in the results of our modelling. These inhomogeneities are present throughout the nebula not only in density but also in temperature and chemistry, meaning that the derived estimates for C/O and N/O in the nebula are highly uncertain (N/O particularly so, given the discrepancy between observed and modelled temperature) meaning that the true values may indeed be greater. However, in spite of this uncertainty, it remains clear that the nebula is both carbon and nitrogen poor, consistent with a binary partner cutting short the AGB evolution of the nebular progenitor.

The recent spate of discoveries, of short period CSPNe with main sequence secondaries (this work; Miszalski et al. 2011b; Corradi et al. 2011), further highlights the discrepancy between the observed post-CE period distribution and that predicted by population synthesis models (even when accounting for observational biases, de Marco et al. 2008; Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2008; Miszalski et al. 2009a). Knowledge of all system parameters (only possible through radial velocity study) is key in further constraining the downfalls of these population synthesis models.

Morphologically, Hen 2-11 appears remarkably similar to two other PNe proven to host post-CE central stars, NGC 6326 and NGC 6778. However, in spite of the fact that their central binaries have shorter periods (0.372 and 0.1534 d, respectively), that of Hen 2-11 shows a much more pronounced reflection effect. The strength of this effect depends on several factors including inclination, temperature and mass ratio. In the case of NGC 6778 (the shortest period of the three), inclination would not seem to be an adequate explanation given its eclipsing nature and the measured inclination of the nebula ( $\sim 78^\circ$ ; Guerrero & Miranda 2012). Therefore the difference in amplitude could be attributed to a combination of NGC 6778 hosting a colder white dwarf and a difference in mass ratio between the two systems. The difference in mass ratio is difficult to assess without detailed modelling of the central binary of NGC 6778 (however it is estimated to be around 0.5; Guerrero & Miranda 2012), but a colder central star is clearly feasible given that Hen 2-11 is roughly at the hottest point of its evolution (Fig. 3) and the age difference between the systems implies NGC 6778 should be yet to reach that point (around 2000 years c.f. 7000 years for Hen 2-11; Guerrero & Miranda 2012). This hypothesis is further supported by the temperatures of the central stars, where that of Hen 2-11 is found to be  $\sim 108\,000$  K (using our measured He II/H $\beta$  dereddened flux ratio and the relation of Kaler & Jacoby 1989, and in reasonable agreement with the primary temperature in our best fitting lightcurve model) c.f.  $\sim 50\,000$  K for NGC 6778 (derived using a

similar methodology, known as the energy balance method, by Preite-Martinez & Pottasch 1983).

In addition to detailed study of their central stars, it is important that these objects are subjected to detailed spatio-kinematical study, in order to ascertain the three-dimensional morphology and velocity structure of the nebula, which can then be related to the evolution and parameters of the central star system (particularly the inclination of nebular symmetry axis with respect to that of the binary orbital plane; Jones et al. 2011, 2012; Tyndall et al. 2012). To that end, we strongly encourage the acquisition of high-resolution, spatially-resolved spectroscopy (either longslit or integral field) of the nebulae Hen 2-11 and NGC 6326 (NGC 6778 has already been the subject of detailed study; Guerrero & Miranda 2012). This data would also indicate whether the structures in the Northwest and Southeastern parts of the nebula are akin to the jets/outflows seen in other binary PNe, and if so whether they were formed contemporaneously to the central region or before – a strong indication of a phase of pre-CE mass transfer (Boffin et al. 2012b; Corradi et al. 2011; Miszalski et al. 2013a).

Finally, it is worthwhile to note that Hen 2-11 has been observed with the Chandra X-ray Observatory as part of the Chandra Planetary Nebulae Survey (ChanPlaNS; Kastner et al. 2012), and, as such, represents an important test case of a close binary central star in the local sample.

*Acknowledgements.* We thank the anonymous referee for their comments which helped to improve the clarity of the manuscript.

Based on observations made with ESO Telescopes at the La Silla Paranal Observatory under programme IDs 088.D-0573 and 090.D-0693, and the Southern African Large Telescope (SALT) under programme 2012-2-RSA-002. This work was co-funded under the Marie Curie Actions of the European Commission (FP7-COFUND). This research has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services. This research has made use of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France.

## References

- Acker, A., Marcout, J., Ochsenbein, F., et al. 1992, The Strasbourg-ESO Catalogue of Galactic Planetary Nebulae. Parts I, II.
- Afşar, M. & Ibanoglu, C. 2008, MNRAS, 391, 802
- Bertin, E. & Arnouts, S. 1996, A&AS, 117, 393
- Boffin, H. M. J., Miszalski, B., & Jones, D. 2012a, A&A, 545, A146
- Boffin, H. M. J., Miszalski, B., Rauch, T., et al. 2012b, Science, 338, 773
- Boyajian, T. S., von Braun, K., van Belle, G., et al. 2012, ApJ, 757, 112
- Buckley, D. A. H., Burgh, E. B., Cottrell, P. L., et al. 2006, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 6269, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series
- Burgh, E. B., Nordsieck, K. H., Kobulnicky, H. A., et al. 2003, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 4841, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, ed. M. Iye & A. F. M. Moorwood, 1463–1471
- Buzzoni, B., Delabre, B., Dekker, H., et al. 1984, The Messenger, 38, 9
- Ciardullo, R., Bond, H. E., Sipior, M. S., et al. 1999, AJ, 118, 488
- Corradi, R. L. M., Sabin, L., Miszalski, B., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 410, 1349
- Crawford, S. M., Still, M., Schellart, P., et al. 2010, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 7737, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series
- De Marco, O. 2009, PASP, 121, 316
- de Marco, O., Hillwig, T. C., & Smith, A. J. 2008, AJ, 136, 323
- Dhillon, V. S., Privett, G. J., & Duffey, K. P. 2001, Starlink User Note 167.6 (Rutherford Appleton Laboratory)
- Ducati, J. R., Bevilacqua, C. M., Rembold, S. B., & Ribeiro, D. 2001, ApJ, 558, 309
- Epchtein, N., Deul, E., Derriere, S., et al. 1999, A&A, 349, 236
- Ercolano, B., Barlow, M. J., Storey, P. J., & Liu, X.-W. 2003, MNRAS, 340, 1136
- Górny, S. K., Schwarz, H. E., Corradi, R. L. M., & Van Winckel, H. 1999, A&AS, 136, 145
- Guerrero, M. A. & Miranda, L. F. 2012, A&A, 539, 47



- Gutiérrez-Moreno, A., Anguita, C., Loyola, P., & Moreno, H. 1999, *PASP*, 111, 1163
- Henize, K. G. 1967, *ApJS*, 14, 125
- Howarth, I. D. 1983, *MNRAS*, 203, 301
- Jones, D. 2011, PhD thesis, Jodrell Bank Centre for Astrophysics, University of Manchester, UK
- Jones, D., Lloyd, M., Santander-García, M., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, 408, 2312
- Jones, D., Mitchell, D. L., Lloyd, M., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, 420, 2261
- Jones, D., Tyndall, A. A., Huckvale, L., Prouse, B., & Lloyd, M. 2011, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 447, *Evolution of Compact Binaries*, ed. L. Schmidtbreick, M. R. Schreiber, & C. Tappert, 165
- Kaler, J. B. 1989, *Stars and their spectra. an introduction to spectral sequence* (Cambridge University Press)
- Kaler, J. B. & Jacoby, G. H. 1989, *ApJ*, 345, 871
- Kastner, J. H., Montez, Jr., R., Balick, B., et al. 2012, *AJ*, 144, 58
- Kingsburgh, R. L. & Barlow, M. J. 1994, *MNRAS*, 271, 257
- Kobulnicky, H. A., Nordsieck, K. H., Burgh, E. B., et al. 2003, in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, Vol. 4841, *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, ed. M. Iye & A. F. M. Moorwood, 1634–1644
- Kurtz, M. J. & Mink, D. J. 1998, *PASP*, 110, 934
- Kurucz, R. L. 1993, *VizieR Online Data Catalog*, 6039, 0
- Miszalski, B., Acker, A., Moffat, A. F. J., Parker, Q. A., & Udalski, A. 2008, *A&A*, 488, L79
- Miszalski, B., Acker, A., Moffat, A. F. J., Parker, Q. A., & Udalski, A. 2009a, *A&A*, 496, 813
- Miszalski, B., Acker, A., Parker, Q. A., & Moffat, A. F. J. 2009b, *A&A*, 505, 249
- Miszalski, B., Boffin, H. M. J., & Corradi, R. L. M. 2013a, *MNRAS*, 428, L39
- Miszalski, B., Boffin, H. M. J., Jones, D., et al. 2013b, *MNRAS*, in press
- Miszalski, B., Corradi, R. L. M., Boffin, H. M. J., et al. 2011a, *MNRAS*, 413, 1264
- Miszalski, B., Jones, D., Rodríguez-Gil, P., et al. 2011b, *A&A*, 531, A158
- O'Donoghue, D., Buckley, D. A. H., Balona, L. A., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, 372, 151
- Peimbert, M. 1978, in *IAU Symposium*, Vol. 76, *Planetary Nebulae*, ed. Y. Terzian, 215–223
- Pollacco, D. & Bell, S. A. 1993, *MNRAS*, 262, 377
- Pollacco, D. & Bell, S. A. 1994, *MNRAS*, 267, 452
- Preite-Martinez, A. & Pottasch, S. R. 1983, *A&A*, 126, 31
- Rebassa-Mansergas, A., Gänsicke, B. T., Schreiber, M. R., et al. 2008, *MNRAS*, 390, 1635
- Shaw, R. A. & Kaler, J. B. 1989, *ApJS*, 69, 495
- Snodgrass, C., Saviane, I., Monaco, L., & Sinclair, P. 2008, *The Messenger*, 132, 18
- Stanghellini, L., Shaw, R. A., & Villaver, E. 2008, *ApJ*, 689, 194
- Tocknell, J., De Marco, O., & Wardle, M. 2013, *ArXiv e-prints*
- Tyndall, A. A., Jones, D., Boffin, H. M. J., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, 436, 2082
- Tyndall, A. A., Jones, D., Lloyd, M., O'Brien, T. J., & Pollacco, D. 2012, *MNRAS*, 422, 1804
- van Dokkum, P. G. 2001, *PASP*, 113, 1420
- Vassiliadis, E. & Wood, P. R. 1994, *ApJS*, 92, 125
- Wesson, R., Stock, D. J., & Scicluna, P. 2012, *MNRAS*, 422, 3516
- Zhang, Y., Liu, X.-W., Wesson, R., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, 351, 935